1	1)	
	_	•	Ξ	

Interview	Summary	V
-----------	---------	---

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/661,778

Kishi et al.

micororo Cammary	Clark F. Dexter	3724		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	·		
(1) Mr. David Cho	(3)			
(2) Mr. Clark Dexter				
Date of Interview Jan 14, 2004	-			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔀 No. If yes, brief descripti	ion:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1 Identification of prior art discussed: the applied prior art, particularly, Frisby et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to it	f an agreement v		
Applicant discussed claim language to further distinguish to that the prior art does not disclose the specific "states" of				
language, particularly with respect to the switching device	, is functional and that such mov	vements can be	performed by	
the prior art. The examiner suggested adding language dir perform the half and full cutting states.	rected to the structure of the swi	itching device th	at is used to	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of t	the interview (if I	box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	PEP section 713.04). If a reply to OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO I	o the last Office FILE A STATEM!	action has ENT OF THE	

CLARK F. DEXTER PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 3724**

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.